

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/083,932	Applicant(s) GRAY, RICHARD L.
	Examiner Ephrem Alemu	Art Unit 2821

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Ephrem Alemu.

(3) Richard Gray.

(2) Jeanette S. Harms.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9-27-04

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

1-9

Prior art documents discussed:

Sasaki et al. (US 5,923,542)

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An agreement has been reached to amend claim 1, to distinguish Applicants' claimed invention over the prior art of record. Permission has been given to make changes to claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9 as shown in the attached Examiner's Amendment.